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Coding dynamical systems

## Definition 1.

Given a graph $\left(E^{0}, E^{1}, r, s\right)$ the associated edge shift space is defined as

$$
X=\left\{\left(e_{n}\right): r\left(e_{n}\right)=s\left(e_{n+1}\right) \forall n=1,2, \ldots\right\}
$$

with the prodiscrete topology.
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with the prodiscrete topology.

## Definition 2.

Metric on $X$ :

$$
d\left(\left(e_{n}\right),\left(f_{n}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2^{j}}, \text { where } e_{1} \ldots e_{j-1}=f_{1} \ldots f_{j-1} \text { and } e_{j} \neq f_{j}
$$

Let $(X, f)$ be a dynamical system.

## Definition 3.

A pair $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called scrambled if

- $\lim \sup d\left(f^{n}(x), f^{n}(y)\right)>0$ and
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## Definition 3.

A pair $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called scrambled if

- $\lim \sup d\left(f^{n}(x), f^{n}(y)\right)>0$ and
- $\liminf d\left(f^{n}(x), f^{n}(y)\right)=0$.
$(X, f)$ is $L i$-Yorke chaotic if there exists a uncountable subset of $X$ such that every pair $(x, y)$ is scrambled.


## Example 4.

Full shift on two generators.

Shift spaces
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How to define an analogue for infinite alphabets?
Appeared most commonly in the context of countable-state Markov chains (or equivalently, shifts coming from countable directed graphs or matrices). Examples of such approach can be found in $[8,10,18],[1,2]$, etc..
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## Infinite alphabet shift spaces

■ Many approachs to shift spaces over infinite alphabets.
■ Difficulty: Usually shift spaces are not compact.
■ Recently Ott, Tomforde and Willis proposed a new approach to infinite shift spaces, connected to graph $C^{*}$-algebras, see [22]:
■ Exel and Laca propose a shift space associated to an infinite matrix as the spectrum of a certain commutative algebra, see [5]

- We want a definition that puts the approaches connected to graph C*-algebras and topological Markov chains with infinitely many states under one umbrella.

Infinite graphs


Ultragraphs


## Ultragraphs



## Definition 2.1.

An ultragraph is a quadruple $\mathcal{G}=\left(G^{0}, \mathcal{G}^{1}, r, s\right)$ consisting of two countable sets $G^{0}, \mathcal{G}^{1}$, a map $s: \mathcal{G}^{1} \rightarrow G^{0}$, and a map $r: \mathcal{G}^{1} \rightarrow P\left(G^{0}\right) \backslash\{\emptyset\}$, where $P\left(G^{0}\right)$ stands for the power set of $G^{0}$.

## Ultragraph C*-algebra

## Definition 2.2.

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an ultragraph. Define $\mathcal{G}^{0}$ to be the smallest subset of $P\left(G^{0}\right)$ that contains $\{v\}$ for all $v \in G^{0}$, contains $r(e)$ for all $e \in \mathcal{G}^{1}$, and is closed under finite unions and non-empty finite intersections.
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## Definition 2.2.

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an ultragraph. Define $\mathcal{G}^{0}$ to be the smallest subset of $P\left(G^{0}\right)$ that contains $\{v\}$ for all $v \in G^{0}$, contains $r(e)$ for all $e \in \mathcal{G}^{1}$, and is closed under finite unions and non-empty finite intersections.

## Definition 2.3.

The ultragraph algebra $C^{*}(\mathcal{G})$ is the universal $C^{*}$-algebra generated by a family of partial isometries with orthogonal ranges $\left\{s_{e}: e \in \mathcal{G}^{1}\right\}$ and a family of projections $\left\{p_{A}: A \in \mathcal{G}^{0}\right\}$ satisfying
$1 p_{\emptyset}=0, p_{A} p_{B}=p_{A \cap B}, p_{A \cup B}=p_{A}+p_{B}-p_{A \cap B}$, for all $A, B \in \mathcal{G}^{0}$;
$2 s_{e}^{*} s_{e}=p_{r(e)}$, for all $e \in \mathcal{G}^{1}$;
$3 s_{e} s_{e}^{*} \leq p_{s(e)}$ for all $e \in \mathcal{G}^{1}$; and
$4 p_{v}=\sum_{s(e)=v} s_{e} s_{e}^{*}$ whenever $0<\left|s^{-1}(v)\right|<\infty$.

Example: Renewal shift

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots \\
1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots \\
\vdots & & \ddots &
\end{array}\right)
$$

Example: Renewal shift


The topological space
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## Definition 3.2.

Let $A \in \mathcal{G}^{0}$. We say that $A$ is a minimal infinite emitter if it is an infinite emitter that contains no proper subsets (in $\mathcal{G}^{0}$ ) that are infinite emitters.
We denote the set of all minimal infinite emitters in $r(\alpha)$ by $M_{\alpha}$.

The topological space


The topological space

Let

$$
X_{\text {fin }}=\left\{(\alpha, A) \in \mathfrak{p}:|\alpha| \geq 1 \text { and } A \in M_{\alpha}\right\} \cup
$$

$\left\{(A, A) \in \mathcal{G}^{0}: A\right.$ is a minimal infinite emitter $\}$.

The topological space

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{f i n}=\left\{(\alpha, A) \in \mathfrak{p}:|\alpha| \geq 1 \text { and } A \in M_{\alpha}\right\} \cup \\
\left\{(A, A) \in \mathcal{G}^{0}: A \text { is a minimal infinite emitter }\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Define

$$
X=\mathfrak{p}^{\infty} \cup X_{\text {fin }}
$$

The topological space

Metric in $X$ :

## The topological space

Metric in $X$ :
list the elements of $\mathfrak{p}$ as $\mathfrak{p}=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, \ldots\right\}$. Then, for $x, y \in X$, we have that

$$
d_{X}(x, y):= \begin{cases}1 / 2^{i} & i \in \mathbb{N} \text { is the smallest value such that } p_{i} \text { is an initial }  \tag{1}\\ & \text { segment of one of } x \text { or } y \text { but not the other } \\ 0 & \text { if } x=y .\end{cases}
$$

## Definition 3.3.

The shift map is the function $\sigma: X \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$
\sigma(x)= \begin{cases}\gamma_{2} \gamma_{3} \ldots & \text { if } x=\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \ldots \in \mathfrak{p}^{\infty} \\ \left(\gamma_{2} \ldots \gamma_{n}, A\right) & \text { if } x=\left(\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{n}, A\right) \in X_{\text {fin }} \text { and }|x|>1 \\ (A, A) & \text { if } x=\left(\gamma_{1}, A\right) \in X_{\text {fin }} \\ (A, A) & \text { if } x=(A, A) \in X_{\text {fin }} \text { and }|x|=0 .\end{cases}
$$
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## Back to the Renewal shift



- Say $s\left(f_{i}\right)=i, r\left(f_{i}\right)=i-1$ for $i=2,3, \ldots$ and $r\left(e_{1}\right)=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$.
- Only one infinite emitter: $A=r\left(e_{1}\right)=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$.
- $X$ consists of infinite paths and finite paths of the form

$$
\left(f_{1} \ldots f_{k} e_{1}, A\right)
$$

that "end" at $A$.

## Via partial actions we obtain...

## Theorem 4.1.
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- $\left(E^{0}, E^{1}, r, s\right) \rightarrow$ a graph.
- $X$ is the shift space (infinite paths)
$■ \mathbb{F} \rightarrow$ Free group on the edges.
- For all $a$ and $b$ finite paths (inside $\mathbb{F}$ ),

$$
X_{a b^{-1}}=\{\text { paths that begin with } a\}
$$

■ $h_{b a^{-1}}: X_{a b^{-1}} \rightarrow X_{b a^{-1}}$

## Skew ring and partial crossed product

Action $h$ on (topological) space induces an action $\alpha$ :
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C(X) * \mathbb{F}=\bigoplus_{g \in \mathbb{F}} C\left(X_{g}\right)=\left\{\sum_{\text {finite }} f_{t} \delta_{t}: f_{t} \in C\left(X_{t}\right)\right\}
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This is an algebra with pointwise sum and multiplication given by

$$
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## Skew ring and partial crossed product

## Theorem 6.

$L_{K}(E) \sim L_{c}(X) * \mathbb{F}$ and $C^{*}(E) \sim C(X) \ltimes \mathbb{F}$

## Theorem 7.

$L_{c}(X) * \mathbb{F}$ is simple iff action is topologically free and minimal iff graph satisfies Condition ( $L$ ) and there are no hereditary and saturated subsets of the vertices.

## Theorem 8.

Let $E_{1}, E_{2}$ be graphs. The following are equivalent:

- $X_{E_{1}}$ and $X_{E_{1}}$ are orbit equivalent.
- There is an isomorphism between $C\left(X_{E_{1}}\right) \ltimes \mathbb{F}_{1}$ and $C\left(X_{E_{2}}\right) \ltimes \mathbb{F}_{2}$ that takes $C\left(X_{E_{1}}\right)$ to $C\left(X_{E_{2}}\right)$.
- The associated partial actions are continuous orbit equivalent.
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